

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

we-

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,917	11/24/2003	Meinolf Blawat	PD020109	5250
	7590 06/21/2007 KS, VICE PRESIDENT		. EXAM	INER
THOMSON LI	CENSING LLC		WALSH, JOHN B	
PATENT OPE PO BOX 5312	PATENT OPERATIONS PO BOX 5312		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5312		2151	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/720,917	BLAWAT ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		John B. Walsh	2151				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING assignment of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a fod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	on.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) 🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	an priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachmen	·						
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 11/24/03.		Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/720,917

Art Unit: 2151

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Presently the abstract is not a single paragraph and uses phrases which can be implied.

# **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1, 3 and 10 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 11 of copending Application No.

11/230,596. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct

Art Unit: 2151

from each other because the scope of the claims are not patentably distinct and thus are anticipated by the copending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Pat. App. Pub. 2002/0156893 A1.

As concerns claim 1, a method for communication between technical devices being nodes in networks, wherein a common group label is assigned to nodes being a member of a group of nodes, and wherein the nodes of said group can cooperate with all other members of the same group of nodes, characterized in accessing a group of nodes (figures 3-4) by a node not being a member (0105-member of another group can join group) of said group of nodes; detecting a group label (0106) of said node accessing said group of nodes; checking whether nodes with said detected group label are allowed to access said accessed group of nodes (0106); and providing services or resources (0099) by said group of nodes to said accessing node.

As concerns claim 2, wherein the nodes of said group are assigned to or under control of the same user, or group of users (figure 4; nodes within a group are used by the users of the group).

Application/Control Number: 10/720,917

Art Unit: 2151

As concerns claim 3, wherein a unique label (0259, lines 8-11; 0262, line 13; 0241) is used for identifying an individual node.

As concerns claim 4, wherein said node is a member of not more than one group of nodes (figure 3).

As concerns claim 5, wherein the access to contents or services within said group of nodes can be restricted by a user-independent lock mechanism (0105, non-open policy).

As concerns claim 6, wherein characteristic information regarding the group of nodes is contained in a data set (0259), the data set being readable for the nodes being a member of or having access to said group of nodes.

As concerns claim 7, wherein a connection between two nodes has a status (0105,0106-membership), the status defining whether both connected nodes belong to the same group of nodes or not.

As concerns claim 8, wherein the relation between groups of nodes is further specified such that if a first group of nodes is allowed to access a second group of nodes, then said second group of nodes is also allowed to access said first group of nodes (0107).

As concerns claim 9, wherein the relation between groups of nodes is further specified such that if a first group of nodes is allowed to access a second group of nodes, and the second group of nodes is allowed to access a third group of nodes, then this constellation automatically leads to that said first group of nodes is allowed to access said third group of nodes (0107), either with or without interaction of said second group of nodes.

As concerns claim 10, an apparatus for communication between technical devices being nodes in networks, wherein a common group label (0104-0106) is assigned to nodes (0098) being a member (0104) of a group of nodes (figures 3-4), and wherein the nodes of said group

Application/Control Number: 10/720,917 Page 5

Art Unit: 2151

can cooperate with all other members of the same group of nodes (0108-0109), the apparatus using the method for communication according to claim 1.

### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B. Walsh whose telephone number is 571-272-7063. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on 571-272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mbhn B. Walsh
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2151